

## FOREIGN NEWS.

## GREAT BRITAIN.

THE FORCE BILL AND LAND BILL UNDER DISCUSSION IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

LONDON, Friday, April 1, 1870.

The House of Commons to-day, in Committee, considered the Irish Force bill, as returned from the House of Lords with amendments, and engaged in a protracted debate, mainly on the technical and legal features of its coercive clauses. Messrs. Ball and Disraeli accused Mr. Gladstone of introducing amendments which altered the principle on which the bill was based.

Mr. Gladstone warmly resented the charge, and argued the entire coherence of the modifications which he had suggested. Mr. Gladstone moved that all the amendments made in the House of Lords, save one, be agreed to. The motion was carried.

The consideration of the Irish Land bill was resumed. The Solicitor-General for Ireland, and Mr. Ball, discussed the technicalities of the bill. The House took a recess, after which the debate was continued.

Mr. Fawcett moved a resolution that the House, approving the plan of unsectarian and non-denominational teaching in Ireland, is in favor of remodeling Trinity College so as to remove religious disabilities. Mr. Fawcett supported his resolve with cogent arguments.

Mr. Plunkett, as member for Trinity College, followed, angrily repudiating interference with that institution. He predicted that the adoption of this resolution would produce results affecting not that college alone, but all the educational establishments of Ireland.

Mr. Gladstone reminded the gentleman that the abolition of University tests was one of the proposed measures of the session, and in the present discussion that fact must be kept in view. He referred to the iniquity of Ultramontane tendencies in the Ministry, and pronounced it absurd. He deplored the necessity of introducing a measure tending to convulse the country, but denied emphatically that the trust of the people to the Whig party would be abandoned. His duty was unmistakable. If assured that the Government was on the wrong course, he would acknowledge it; but, feeling that he was right, he must stand where he stood.

Mr. Beresford Hope urged Mr. Fawcett to withdraw his resolve. Mr. Ball made a speech in support of the resolution. Without action on the subject the House adjourned.

In the House of Lords this evening the Irish Force bill was again received from the House of Commons, with all the Lords' amendments concerned in save one. Without taking action on the bill the House adjourned.

## THE WISDOM OF THE AMERICAN SYSTEM.

The Examiner finds, in the disconnected and contradictory discussions on Irish affairs in the House of Commons, proofs of the wisdom of the American system, which leaves local affairs to local legislatures.

## INCREASED DISCONTENT—NEW OUTRAGES—MORE EMIGRATION—CONSEQUENCES OF THE COERCION BILL.

The popular discontent in Ireland seems to be increasing. The evening papers of this city to-day publish a long list of outrages which have recently been committed there. Yesterday the Protestant Church at Ballynagall, Cork Co., was entered by evil-disposed persons, the walls and furniture defaced, and the building itself nearly destroyed.

The Dublin correspondent of *The Evening Post* says that the emigration from Ireland to the United States has increased to a remarkable extent within the past few days, and is altogether due to the passage through Parliament of the obnoxious bill for the preservation of life and property in Ireland.

## THE ATLANTIC CABLE COMPANY.

The Atlantic Cable Company, after considering the proposed bill for consolidation of the Anglo-American with the French Transatlantic Cable Company, have given it their approval, three-fourths of the stock supporting it. A resolution to this effect has been adopted at a meeting of the Company.

## THE UNIVERSITY CREWS.

The University boat crews are out two or three times a day on the Thames. The practice of the Oxford men is still the best, but the Cambridge crew are fast improving.

## MISCELLANEOUS NEWS.

A committee has been appointed to make arrangements for the visit of the English delegation to the World's Conference of the Evangelical Alliance at New York next Autumn. The delegates will probably be the Rev. Dr. Johnson, Prof. Blake, Canon Fendley, Dean Westcott, and the Rev. Messrs. Dale, Hoare, Cairns, and Alexander.

J. S. Morgan & Co. have introduced the mortgage bill of the St. Louis Bridge Company into this market.

## FRANCE.

## THE ALLEGED CONSPIRACY—CHARLES HUGO'S CONDEMNATION.

PARIS, Friday, April 1, 1870.

The *Gazette des Tribunaux* to-day announces that at least six weeks will be required for a proper examination into the affairs of the conspiracy. "Although the magistrates are diligently at work," the *Gazette* adds, "every day something new and important transpires in regard to the matter."

Charles Hugo of the editorial staff of the *Reppel* has been condemned to six months' imprisonment and a fine of 2,000 francs, and the managing editor of the same paper has been sentenced to three months' imprisonment and a fine of 2,000 francs, for a violation of the Press law.

## THE PROJECT FOR A SENATUS CONSULTUM—PRINCE BONAPARTE'S INTENTIONS—ANOTHER STUDENT'S DEMONSTRATION.

The Ministerial journals defend the Senatus Consultum, while all the other papers pronounce it insufficient.

The *Presse* (newspaper) announces that Prince Pierre Bonaparte has gone to America, and his family have been sent to Switzerland. Other journals say the Prince has not left France, but soon will. Another manifestation was made to-day by the students of the Ecole de Médecine against Dr. Tardieu. They refused to allow the professor to proceed with his lecture. There was much disorder, but the police did not interfere.

## SAN DOMINGO.

## A SPECIAL ENVOY FROM BAEZ—THE TIME FOR RATIFYING THE TREATY.

WASHINGTON, April 1.—A special envoy from San Domingo, named Cohen, has arrived here with dispatches direct from President Baez. He was accompanied by a number of Senators and a large number of the President's friends, who introduced him to a number of Senators. He brings important information concerning affairs in the Islands. He says that since the fact has become generally known among the inhabitants there that negotiations were going on looking to the annexation of that island to the United States, it has had a very marked and beneficial effect, and the insurgent element, which has been more or less strong for several years back, has settled down. There is, he says, little if any open opposition to the Baez Administration.

Mr. Cohen visited the capital, and conferred with a number of Senators on the importance of the ratification of the treaty now pending in the Senate. It is understood that the treaty will not be passed for consideration, inasmuch as Baez has signified his willingness to extend the time for its ratification to any reasonable period. In the mean time the President and the friends of the measure will continue to work for its ratification in the Senate.

Mr. Commissioner Cohen declares that the question of annexation to Spain, which was discussed during the late session of Congress, was a mere political device, and that the United States had no intention of annexing the island, without any kind of interference on the part of the Government, or the presence of a single soldier, was demonstrated by the withdrawal of Señor Becerra from the Cabinet.

## THE NEW MINISTER.

Señor Moret, who replaces Becerra in the Ministry of the Colonies, is an intimate friend of Admiral Topete, late Minister of the Marine. It is understood that the new Minister favors the proposed constitution for Porto Rico, and will carry it through the Cortes.

## PARIS, Friday, April 1, 1870.

Dispatches from Madrid state that preparations are being made for a great demonstration in that city on Sunday next against military conscription.

## ITALY.

## ANOTHER CABINET CRISIS.

LONDON, Friday, April 1, 1870.

It is reported at Rome that all attempts to conciliate the opposition in the Council of Ministers have been abandoned. Cialdini insists on the resignation of the Cabinet.

## THE CARNIVAL FROM A POLITICAL POINT OF VIEW.

FLORENCE, March 14.—Signor Corti has made an interpolation to the Minister of War as to whether soldiers and officers of the army were really lent out to the Carnival managers. Whether, in case of one of the accidents happening to them in Carnival service, the country would be bound to pension them or their widows or children. The Minister, however, replied very glumly that it had been the in-

variable custom for many years to place at the service of the Carnival directors the military bands and troops; that this year the custom had been followed with his entire sanction; that he saw no harm in it, and if either soldiers or officers came to grief, they would certainly be pensioned, as they were acting under orders. I suspect that, except among the ultra Catholics, Signor Corti would find no supporters in the attempt to lessen by even a hair's breadth the brilliancy of Carnival. When we remember that even the King, supposed now to be growing devout, availed himself of the week allowed to Milan for the Carnival, to shorten the dreary days of Lent; that the Prince and Princess, Ministers and Senators, throughout the Lombard capital to the last hour, and the last moment of the last hour, of Meneghino's life, we may form some notion of the national passion for the mad buffoneries which appear so childish and so contemptible to Northern eyes.

"You, Signor Lanza," says Don Margatto, "who in 1865 prohibited public processions in the streets lest they should lead to disorder; you, who confined Catholic ceremonies to Catholic churches—how is it that for more than a month you allow the streets of Turin to be invaded by Glandia and his hordes, of Bologna by Balazzone, and actually prohibit the passage of carriages in Milan during the carnival masquerade? The procession of the Corpus Domini may be interrupted and spoiled, but Meneghino's buffonades are to be repeated! And you, Signor Castagnola (now Minister of Agriculture), who you reduced feast days in Italy to the single festival of the Statuto, on the plea that so many holidays wasted the time and diminished the earnings of peasants and artisans—how is it that you allow, by your public presence at Milan, encourage, a full month's holiday in which peasants and artisans spend the scant earnings of the winter and waste their time in revelry? The question is well put, and the only possible reply of the Ministers is that 'Italy is weary of your religious festivals and numbers, but she must amuse herself, and Carnival occurs the occasion. In the *fatras*, the hard cash of peasant and artisan, goes into the Church's pocket. During the Carnival season the money of the wealthy passes to the working classes. And we are now becoming highly moral; the Florentine Carnival is an Industrial Exposition. The fair, the exhibition, are incentives to agriculturists and manufacturers to do more and better things by next year,'—and so on to the end of the chapter.

At the best, the reply is lame, and the political economy doubtful. If any individual or combination of circumstances would abolish Carnival in Italy, men and events would render a service as important as that which followed the lessening of the power of the priesthood.

## ROME.

## THE ARMENTARI.

ROME, Friday, April 1, 1870.

It is asserted that the Papal Court has rejected the overtures made by Ali Pasha in favor of the Armenians.

## CARDINAL SCHWARZENBERG AND BISHOP STROSSMAYER SILENCED BY THE COUNCIL—A SCENE.

LONDON, Friday, April 1, 1870.

Advices from Rome report a remarkable scene at a recent sitting of the Council.

Cardinal Schwarzenberg and Bishop Strossmayer endeavored to urge a policy of conciliation, and while speaking in favorable terms of Protestants, and objecting to the anathemas directed against them as arrogant and profane, they were silenced by the angry uproar of the assembly.

## CUBA.

## LETTER OF MR. CLAY IN VINDICATION OF THE CUBAN CAUSE.

THE FOLLOWING IS THE REPLY OF THE HON. CASSIUS M. CLAY, PRESIDENT OF THE CUBAN CHARITABLE AID SOCIETY, TO GOV. PALMER OF ILLINOIS:

New York, April 1, 1870.

DEAR SIR: Your letter of the 25th of March, ult., is received. I regard it as an evidence of the growing popularity of our cause, and you feel the necessity of vindicating, by an appeal to the public, your refusal to act as Vice-President of the Cuban Charitable Aid Society.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.

It is not our duty to your distinction between the duties of governors and private citizens here; for if a Governor, by his official position, is to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so. If you and I, and all the rest of us, are to be held responsible for the recovery of their right of self-government, then all of us are wrong in doing so.